FISCAL ESTIMATE	E FORM			99 Session	
		LRB # 99-262			
	☐ UPDATED	INTRODUCTIO	INTRODUCTION # AB 556		
☐ CORRECTED	SUPPLEMENTAL	Admin. Rule #		.,	
Subject					
Income and Fra Pumping and S Fiscal Effect		r Businesses that Cons	struct and Equip a Facil	ity for Breast Milk	
State: No State Fisca	s below only if bill makes a dir	 ☑ Increase Costs - May be Possible to Absorb Within Agency's Budget ☐ Yes ☒ No 			
☐ Increase Existing App	propriation	Existing Revenues			
☐ Decrease Existing Appropriation ☒ Decrease Existing Revenues			☐ Decrease Costs		
☐ Create New Appropri					
1. ☐ Increase Costs		crease Revenues	5. Types of Local Governme	ental Units Affected:	
		Permissive Mandatory	re Mandatory Towns Villages Cities		
2. Decrease Costs	4. 🗆 De	ecrease Revenues	☐ Counties ☐ Others	·	
☐ Permissive ☐	Mandatory	Permissive Mandatory	☐ School Districts ☐ \	NTCS Districts	
Fund Sources Affected	PRO □ PRS □ SEG □		O Appropriations		
Assumptions Used in Arr					
•	_		tax credit for a busines		
taxable year. Under a sink and equipmer employees to pump. Although costs of profor the purposes of constructing and finition breast milk pump, a Americans with Disain a given year. The However, if 100 emproved by about \$28	r the draft, a breast-ment for the pumping and and store breast milk roviding a facility would this fiscal estimate the ishing walls, floor, and small refrigerator and ability Act. Based on a number of employer ployers provided a bread on (\$7.500 x 50%)	nilk facility must be a point storage of breast mile during their working he law ary from business sat an average cost would be ceiling, bringing in wall a chair, and making in Department data, appoints that would provide the last milk facility at an ax 100 x 75%). The actions the storage of the last milk facility at an ax 100 x 75%.	employer's costs, not to rivate location that has lk. The facility must be nours. structure to business structure to business structure and electricity, pure to compliant with require roximately 75% of credinis facility and claim a caverage cost of \$7,500, tual fiscal effect would employers providing the	a clean water source, used exclusively by ructure, it is assumed at estimate includes chasing a high grade ements in the 1990 its claimed are used credit is unknown. The fiscal effect vary depending upon	
If 100 employers rewould be \$750,000	ceived the maximum (\$10,000 x 100 x 75%	credit, the annual fisca 6).	Il effect of providing bre	ast milk facilities	
Department costs for programming costs	or administering the b in fiscal year 2000-01	ill would be \$94,500 fo 1 and \$3,000 annually	r one-time processing a thereafter.	and computer audit	
Long-Range Fiscal Implic	cations:				
	9 Dhara Na Y	Authorized Signature/Teleph	one No	Date	
Agency/Prepared by: (Na	,	-			
Wisconsin Department of	f Revenue	Yeang-Eng Braun	ing Chy Brain	12/8/99	
Pamela Walgren, (608) 26	66-7817	(608) 266-2700	l I		

FISCAL ESTIMATE	FISCAL ESTIMATE WORKSHEET Detailed Estimate of Annual Fisc			1999 Session			
	☐ UPDATED	LRB # 99-2621/1		Admin. Rule #			
☐ CORRECTED	SUPPLEMENTAL	INTRODUCTION	# AB 556				
Subject Income and Franchise Tax Credit for Businesses that Construct and Equip a Facility for Breast Milk Pumping and Storage							
I. One-Time Costs or Revo	enue Impacts for State and/or	r Local Government (do no	ot include in annualized fiscal	effect):			
One time costs	of \$94,500 for processing and	computer audit programmin	g costs				
II. Annualized Costs:			Annualized Fiscal in	Annualized Fiscal impact on State funds from:			
A. State Conto by Cotogony			Increased Costs	Decreased Costs			
A. State Costs by Category State Operations - Salaries and Fringe			\$	\$ -			
(FTE Position Changes)			(FTE)	(- FTE)			
State Operations-Other Costs			3,000	-			
Local Assistance			-				
Aids to Individuals or O	rganizations			-			
TOTAL State Costs by Category			\$ 3,000	\$ -			
B. State Costs by Source of Funds			Increased Costs	Decreased Costs			
GPR			\$ 3,000	\$			
FED				-			
PRO/PRS				-			
SEG/SEG-S				-			
III. State Revenues - Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, etc.)			state Increased Rev.	Decreased Rev.			
GPR Taxes			\$	\$ - see text			
GPR Earned				-			
FED				-			
PRO/PRS				-			
SEG/SEG-S				-			
TOTAL State Rev	enues		\$	\$ - see text			
,			7				
NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT							
		STATE	¢	LOCAL			
NET CHANGE IN COSTS		\$ 3,000					
NET CHANGE IN REVENU	UES	\$ see text	<u>ф</u>				
Aganov/Dranagad by: /No	ame & Phone No \	Authorized Signature/Te	elephone No.	Date			
Yeang-Eng Braun			10/01/00				
	Years by Drawn			12/8/99			
Pamela Walgren, (608) 26	56-7817	(608) 266-2700	. 1 1				